Abstraction Heuristics Extended with Counting Abstractions

Blai Bonet

Universidad Simón Bolívar, Caracas, Venezuela

ICAPS 2011 – Freiburg, June 2011

Introduction

- Abstractions is one of four main classes of heuristics
- Abstractions are not dominated by the delete-free h^+
- Merge-and-shrink (MAS) heuristics are powerful abstractions
- Underlying model of MAS is quite general

Contribution

- Define counting abstractions (CA) within the model of MAS
- A CA tracks the number of atoms true at states in admissible manner; e.g. number of unachieved goals
- CAs can be defined with respect to any set of atoms; not bound to SAS^+ variables
- CAs can be composed with standard MAS heuristics

Abstraction Heuristics

(Very) General Framework

abstractions $\longrightarrow$ (labeled) transition systems

compositions $\longrightarrow$ synchronized products

Transition Systems

Abstract state space with transitions

Tuple $\mathcal{T} = \langle S, L, A, s_0, S_T \rangle$ where:

- S is finite set of states
- L finite set of labels (actions)
- labeled transitions $A \subseteq S \times L \times S$
- initial state $s_0 \in S$
- goals $S_T \subseteq S$

Minimum distances to goals denoted by $h^{\mathcal{T}}$

Abstractions

Abstraction of $\mathcal{T} = \langle S, L, A, s_0, S_T \rangle$ is

- transition system $\mathcal{T}' = \langle S', L, A', s'_0, S'_T \rangle$ over same labels
- homomorphism $\alpha : S \to S'$; i.e.,
  - $(s, \ell, t) \in A \implies (\alpha(s), \ell, \alpha(t)) \in A'$
  - $s'_0 = \alpha(s_0)$
  - $\alpha(S_T) \subseteq S_T$

If $(\mathcal{T}', \alpha)$ is abstraction of $\mathcal{T}$, $h^{\mathcal{T}'}(\alpha(s)) \leq h^{\mathcal{T}}(s)$

Thus, $h^{\mathcal{T}'}$ is admissible heuristic for searching $\mathcal{T}$

Synchronized Products

Abstractions $(\mathcal{T}', \alpha')$ and $(\mathcal{T}'', \alpha'')$ combined into abstraction $\mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' = \langle S, L, A, s_0, s_T \rangle$ where:

- $S = S' \times S''$
- $((s', s''), \ell, (t', t'')) \in A$ iff $(s', \ell, t') \in A'$ and $(s'', \ell, t'') \in A''$
- $s_0 = (s'_0, s''_0)$
- $S_T = S'_T \times S''_T$

Homomorphism is $\alpha(s) = (\alpha'(s), \alpha''(s))$

Thm: $\max\{h^{\mathcal{T}'}, h^{\mathcal{T}''}\} \leq h^{\mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}''}$

Merge-and-Shrink Heuristics

- Start with abstractions corresponding to single SAS$^+$ variables
- Combine them (in some order) using synchronized products
- Control size of products by shrinking the abstractions

Example: Gripper with 1 Arm and 2 Balls

Atomic transition systems:



Example: Gripper with 1 Arm and 2 Balls

Composition: position + holding



Example: Gripper with 1 Arm and 2 Balls

Composition: position + holding + ball0



Counting

Goal: to define abstractions that count atoms

Atoms: of the form '$X = x$' for SAS$^+$ variable X and $x \in D_X$

Goal: to define abstractions that count atoms

Atoms: of the form '$X = x$' for SAS$^+$ variable X and $x \in D_X$

Fix set $\mathcal{C}$ of atoms. For state s, $\mathcal{C}(s) = |\{p \in \mathcal{C} : s \models p\}|$

Goal: to define abstractions that count atoms

Atoms: of the form '$X = x$' for SAS$^+$ variable X and $x \in D_X$

Fix set $\mathcal{C}$ of atoms. For state s, $\mathcal{C}(s) = |\{p \in \mathcal{C} : s \models p\}|$

Abstraction $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C} = \langle S', A', s'_0, S'_T \rangle, \alpha)$ that counts $\mathcal{C}$ is

- $S' = \{0, 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{C}|\}$
- $(\mathcal{C}(s), \ell, \mathcal{C}(t)) \in A'$ iff $(s, \ell, t) \in A$
- $s'_0 = \mathcal{C}(s_0)$
- $S'_T = \{\mathcal{C}(s) : s \in S_T\}$
- $\alpha(s) = \mathcal{C}(s)$

Thm: $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}$ gives admissible estimates

Goal: to define abstractions that count atoms

Atoms: of the form '$X = x$' for SAS$^+$ variable X and $x \in D_X$

Fix set $\mathcal{C}$ of atoms. For state s, $\mathcal{C}(s) = |\{p \in \mathcal{C} : s \models p\}|$

Abstraction $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C} = \langle S', A', s'_0, S'_T \rangle, \alpha)$ that counts $\mathcal{C}$ is

- $S' = \{0, 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{C}|\}$
- $(\mathcal{C}(s), \ell, \mathcal{C}(t)) \in A'$ iff $(s, \ell, t) \in A$
- $s'_0 = \mathcal{C}(s_0)$
- $S'_T = \{\mathcal{C}(s) : s \in S_T\}$
- $\alpha(s) = \mathcal{C}(s)$

Thm: $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}$ gives admissible estimates

but cannot be computed without considering all states in $\mathcal{T}$

Effective Construction

Idea: compute abstraction at representation level (SAS^+ level)

Effective Construction

Idea: compute abstraction at representation level (SAS$^+$ level)

How: count for each operator how many atoms in $\mathcal{C}$ are deleted and how many are added; i.e., net difference

Effective Construction

Idea: compute abstraction at representation level (SAS$^+$ level)

How: count for each operator how many atoms in $\mathcal{C}$ are deleted and how many are added; i.e., net difference

But:

- if p is true and 'added', the count should not increase
- if p is false and 'deleted', the count should not decrease

Effective Construction

Idea: compute abstraction at representation level (SAS$^+$ level)

How: count for each operator how many atoms in $\mathcal{C}$ are deleted and how many are added; i.e., net difference

But:

- if p is true and 'added', the count should not increase
- if p is false and 'deleted', the count should not decrease

Solution: approximate the count in an admissible manner

Consider the sets (computed from SAS$^+$ representation):

$$base_o = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathit{pre}[o]$$

$$\delta_o^+ = \{X : X = X(\mathit{pre}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C} \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\delta_o^- = \{X : X = X(\mathit{pre}[o]) \in \mathcal{C} \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\alpha_o = \{X : X(\mathit{pre}[o]) = \bot \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\beta_o = \mathsf{Vars}_{\mathcal{C}} \cap \{X : X(\mathit{pre}[o]) = \bot \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C}\}$$

Consider the sets (computed from SAS$^+$ representation):

$$base_o = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathit{pre}[o]$$

$$\delta_o^+ = \{X : X = X(\mathit{pre}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C} \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\delta_o^- = \{X : X = X(\mathit{pre}[o]) \in \mathcal{C} \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\alpha_o = \{X : X(\mathit{pre}[o]) = \bot \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\beta_o = \mathsf{Vars}_{\mathcal{C}} \cap \{X : X(\mathit{pre}[o]) = \bot \wedge X = X(\mathit{post}[o]) \notin \mathcal{C}\}$$

Thm: Let o be applicable at s, and $s' = result(s, o)$. Then,

$$\mathcal{C}(s) \geq |base_o|$$
$$\mathcal{C}(s') = \mathcal{C}(s) + |\delta_o^+| - |\delta_o^-| + k$$

where $-|\beta_o| \leq k \leq |\alpha_o|$

Approximation

Abstraction $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{C} = (\langle S, L, A, s_0, S_T \rangle, \alpha)$ where

- $S = \{0, 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{C}|\}$
- L is set of SAS$^+$ operators
- $s_0 = \mathcal{C}(s_{init})$
- $S_T = \{v \in S : \mathcal{C}(s_{goal}) \leq v\}$
- $\langle v, o, v' \rangle \in A$ iff

$$v \geq |base_o|$$
$$v' = v + |\delta_o^+| - |\delta_o^-| + k$$

for some $-|\beta_o| \leq k \leq |\alpha_o|$ with $0 \leq v' \leq |\mathcal{C}|$

Thm: $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{C}$ is polytime computable and admissible

Example: Gripper with 1 Arm and 2 Balls

Atomic transition systems:



Example: Gripper with 1 Arm and 2 Balls

Composition: position + holding + #ballsA



Experimental Results: Gripper with 2 Arms (IPC)

Strategies: static (default) and LIFO

Counting: $\mathcal{C}_{init}$, $\mathcal{C}_{goal}$, and 3 random each with 2 atoms

Size: $N = 50,000$ nodes in abstraction

		static strategy		LIFO strategy	
inst.	$h^*(s_\circ)$	M&S	M&S-#	M&S	M&S-#
03	23	9,318	10,298	**0**	**0**
04	29	68,186	65,681	32,514	**0**
05	35	376,494	371,720	332,629	**0**
06	41	1,982,014	1,974,279	1,934,383	**0**
07	47	10,091,966	10,080,246	10,047,485	**0**

Lessons Learned

General abstractions:

- Function that maps states into domain generates abstraction
- Abstraction may not be effective
- Approximate abstraction with an effective abstraction

Counting abstractions:

- powerful abstractions
- can be combined with other abstractions
- how to select good sets $\mathcal{C}$ of atoms is open issue

. . . see the paper for more interesting stuff . . .

Thanks!